

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO.0098 317/10

CVG Ltd. 1200, 10665 Jasper Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 3S9 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton, AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on October 25, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description
3517836	10949 120 St. NW	Plan: 3256HW Block: 19 Lot: D
Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Notice for:
\$3,241,500	Annual New	2010

Before: Board Officer:

Myron Chilibeck, Presiding Officer Ron Funnell, Board Member Reg Pointe, Board Member J. Halicki

Persons Appearing: Complainant Persons Appearing: Respondent

Peter Smith, Agent CVG Ltd.

Cameron Ashmore, Solicitor Kevin Smyl, Assessor

Observer:

Renee Gosselink, Assessor

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

None.

ISSUE(S)

Both parties agreed to correct some factual matters on the subject property that resulted in a proposed recommendation.

BACKGROUND

The subject property, known as the Hemisphere Building, comprises approximately 28,435 sq. ft. and is located in the Queen Mary Park neighbourhood

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

- s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.
- s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration
 - (a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
 - (b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
 - (c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant's position, according to the complaint form, is that, among other things, the property was not fairly and equitably assessed in relation to similar properties.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

A pro-forma statement (R1) was submitted and accompanied by oral testimony from the Respondent indicating that the subject property is a "C" class building.

The Respondent proposed to change the district classification from 118 Avenue to 124 Street. This would result in a reduction of \$1/sq. ft. from \$11 to \$10/sq. ft. for the office component.

As a result of the proposed changes, the Respondent recommends a reduction in the total 2010 assessment to \$2,930,000.

DECISION

The Board's decision is to accept the recommendation of the Respondent and to revise the assessment for the subject property for 2010 from \$3,241,500 to \$2,930,000.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Board accepts the mutually agreed upon revisions and the resulting revised assessment.

Dated t Alberta	this twenty-fifth day of October, 2010 A.D., at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of a.
Presidi	ng Officer
	ecision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or ction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.
	Municipal Government Board City of Edmonton, Assessment and Taxation Branch Winnington Properties